Audit Services in the Department of the Army
SUMMARY of CHANGE

AR 36–2
Audit Services in the Department of the Army

This major revision, dated 30 October 2015--

○ Adds the U.S. Army Audit Agency’s mission (para 1-5c).

○ Removes responsibilities of the Department of the Army Inspector General to assist The Army Auditor General in elevating disagreements for adjudication (chap 1, sec II).

○ Updates the responsibilities of the Commanding General, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command to fall under the Provost Marshal General (para 1-12).

○ Adds responsibilities of Internal Review personnel in conducting audits at their installations (para 1-15).

○ Adds policy that Internal Review personnel may conduct followup audits of U.S. Army Audit Agency recommendations (paras 1-15 and 2-18e).

○ Updates figure 2-1, Engagement phases, to include strategic-level planning (fig 2-1).

○ Expands on the annual strategic audit planning process to include the Army’s Internal Audit Plan (para 2-3).

○ Adds a discussion on replies to reports that contain recommendations from external organizations (para 3-4e).

○ Clarifies appendix D to discuss guidelines for conducting an external peer review of the U.S. Army Audit Agency (app D).
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Proponent and exception authority. The proponent of this regulation is The Army Auditor General. The proponent has the authority to approve exceptions or waivers to this regulation that are consistent with controlling law and regulations. The proponent may delegate this approval authority, in writing, to a division chief within the proponent agency or its direct reporting unit or field operating agency, in the grade of colonel or the civilian equivalent. Activities may request a waiver to this regulation by providing justification that includes a full analysis of the expected benefits and must include formal review by the activity’s senior legal officer. All waiver requests will be endorsed by the commander or senior leader of the requesting activity and forwarded through their higher headquarters to the policy proponent. Refer to AR 25–30 for specific guidance.

Army internal control process. This regulation contains internal control provisions in accordance with AR 11–2 and identifies key internal controls that must be evaluated (see app E).

Supplementation. Supplementation of this regulation and establishment of command and local forms are prohibited without prior approval from the Office of The Army Auditor General (SAAG–ZAZ), 6000 6th Street, Building 1464, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–5609.
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Distribution. This regulation is available in electronic media only and is intended for command levels C, D, and E for the Active Army, the Army National Guard/Army National Guard of the United States, and the U.S. Army Reserve.
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Glossary
Chapter 1
Introduction

Section I
General

1–1. Purpose
This regulation prescribes policy and guidance for internal audit and attestation engagements conducted in the Army and for responding to audit and attestation engagements by the U.S. Army Audit Agency (USAAA). It describes the Army’s Followup Program and discusses the Semiannual Report to the Congress and the related semiannual followup status report submitted to the Department of Defense Inspector General (DODIG). This regulation also discusses external audits and reviews that may be conducted by the Government Accountability Office (GAO), DODIG, Special Inspectors General, and commercial audit firms.

1–2. References
See appendix A.

1–3. Explanation of abbreviations and terms
See glossary.

1–4. Responsibilities
See section II of this chapter.

1–5. Authority

a. The Army Auditor General, in accordance with functional responsibilities delegated by the Secretary of the Army (SECARMY), exercises sole responsibility for the conduct of the audit function in the Army or for which the Army provides resources. The Army Auditor General reports to the SECARMY and is responsible to the SECARMY for the operational performance of USAAA. USAAA is the Army’s internal audit organization.

b. The Army Auditor General heads USAAA and has audit responsibility for all functions and elements of the Army. USAAA is the only Army organization or activity authorized to conduct Armywide audits within the Army. The Army Auditor General may allow contracting for auditing services as indicated in paragraph 1–10e.

c. USAAA’s mission is to serve the Army’s evolving needs by helping senior leaders assess and mitigate risk, and by providing solutions through independent internal auditing services, for the benefit of Army Soldiers, Civilians, and Families.

1–6. Relations with auditors

a. The Army recognizes, supports, and uses audit as an important element of internal control systems. Managers use audit reports to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of Army operations. Audited activities will take prompt, responsive, and effective corrective actions on agreed-to auditor recommendations and, if reported, potential monetary benefits. Command activities will coordinate with The Army Auditor General for all internal audits.

b. All audit and attestation engagements will be conducted in accordance with generally accepted Government auditing standards (GAGAS) and applicable Department of Defense (DOD) policy, as set forth in—


(2) DODI 7600.02.

(3) DODI 7600.06.

c. Audited activities will provide information that is factual, accurate, and representative of the situation being assessed. Audited activities will not unreasonably delay the progress of the audit when providing this information or while setting up entrance conferences or site visits. Audit organizations will have full access to all personnel, facilities, records, reports, databases, and documents. Audited activities will make all accounts, books, records, documents, papers, facilities, equipment, and other assets available for examination and observation by auditors and make available knowledgeable personnel who can discuss the information. (This material includes letters, memorandums, endorsements, reports, memorandums for the record, contingency plans, relevant working papers, as well as documents and media of any type, including automated or electronic records and systems with any needed associated passwords.) Audited activities will not require written requests for access to materials, personnel, or site visits unless they are needed for clarification.

d. Audited activities will release classified documents and records under the provisions of AR 380–5 for review by auditors with the appropriate level of security clearance. Audited activities will assist the auditors in determining what security clearances will be necessary and any classification or protective markings to be applied to material developed.
during the audit. If the audited activity is not authorized to make this determination, it will direct the auditors to the proper authority and, when appropriate, will help the auditors obtain the classification from the proper authority.

e. Audited activities will provide adequate space, facilities, communications, network connectivity, and other assistance necessary to perform the audit. This support includes computer programming and access to systems and hardware, as well as other technical assistance necessary to audit computer-based systems and information.

f. Auditors will keep the command informed about the audit approach and timeframes and will make results, conclusions, recommendations, reports, and potential monetary benefit estimates available for review and comment before finalizing their reports. Interim products are subject to revision and audited activities will safeguard them to prevent any premature or unauthorized release, disclosure, or use.

g. Auditors will refer indications of fraud or other criminal acts to the appropriate investigative organizations in accordance with applicable regulations.

h. Auditors will issue reports containing recommendations, as appropriate. Auditors do not have the direct authority to make changes or order that changes be made in the procedures of audited activities. These changes are the responsibility of management.

i. Audited activities will take prompt, responsive, and effective corrective actions on agreed-to auditor recommendations and, if reported, potential monetary benefits, as discussed in paragraph 2–12.

j. Army activities will assign individuals, referred to as audit focal points, to provide assistance to USAAA and external auditors; coordinate audit activity within the command; and assist in the command-reply process and audit followup program. The responsibilities of audit focal points are discussed in paragraph 1–14. Army activities will exercise care in appointing audit focal points. Audit focal points will be familiar with the audit process. Army activities with an Internal Review office may want to designate an individual from that office as the audit focal point.

k. Decisions on disputed conclusions, recommendations, corrective actions, or potential monetary benefits will be well documented and consistent with legal statutes, regulations, and Department of the Army (DA) policy. All disagreements between management and auditors will be decided within 6 months from the date of the final audit report (see Public Law 103–355, as amended, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–50).

Section II
Responsibilities

1–7. Under Secretary of the Army

The Under Secretary of the Army will adjudicate disagreements between management and USAAA on issues involving audits and attestation engagements of Secretariat functions, including civil works matters, which cannot be resolved otherwise.

1–8. Vice Chief of Staff, Army

The Vice Chief of Staff, Army will adjudicate disagreements between management and USAAA on issues involving audits and attestation engagements of Army Staff functions that cannot be resolved otherwise.

1–9. Headquarters, Department of the Army principal officials

Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA) principal officials will—

a. Request audit service when needed as discussed in paragraph 2–4.

b. Obtain The Army Auditor General’s approval before contracting for audit service.

c. Designate an audit focal point to coordinate audit activity and perform liaison for USAAA, GAO, DODIG, Special Inspectors General, and other oversight organizations, and report contact information to the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office (SAAG–ZBO) via email at usarmy.pentagon.hqda-aaa.mbx.aaa-acfo@mail.mil.

d. Cooperate with and fully support auditors by responding to audit requests and providing assistance to auditors, as needed.

e. Respond to audit reports in accordance with policy and procedures in this regulation and take responsive actions on agreed-to recommendations in a timely manner to reduce costs, manage risks, and improve management processes.

f. Provide the official Army position on findings, conclusions, recommendations, and potential monetary benefits.

g. Facilitate and support the USAAA audit followup program as requested and adhere to audit followup policies and procedures prescribed in this regulation.

h. Ensure that employees responsible for resolving auditor recommendations and implementing agreed-to corrective actions have the responsibilities captured in officer evaluation support forms and civilian performance or job objectives as directed by OMB Circular A–50.

1–10. The Army Auditor General

The Army Auditor General will—

a. Oversee the operational performance of USAAA.
b. Establish audit policy for the Army and for implementing GAGAS established by the Comptroller General of the United States for audits within the Army.

c. Provide objective and independent audit service to all levels and functions throughout the Army covering issues and subject matter relevant to the Army.

d. Assist top managers of the Army Secretariat, Army Staff, Army commands (ACOMs), Army service component commands (ASCCs), direct reporting units (DRUs), installations, and field activities on audit matters.

e. Approve the requirement to contract for audit services and provide technical guidance and oversight of audits performed under contract.

f. Serve as the Army point of contact for the coordination of all audit matters with the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency, GAO, DODIG, Special Inspectors General, U.S. Air Force Audit Agency, Naval Audit Service, and other oversight organizations as deemed necessary.

 overdose the effective execution of the Army command-reply process and audit followup program—to include coordinating with the Army Secretariat, Army Staff, ACOMs, ASCCs, DRUs, installations, and field activities—and coordinating and facilitating followup activities of GAO, DODIG, and other oversight organizations.

h. Act on requests for records submitted under Section 552, Title 5, United States Code, as amended (Freedom of Information Act), in accordance with procedures in AR 25–55 when the records relate to audits or operations of USAAA.

1–11. Chief of Legislative Liaison

The Chief of Legislative Liaison will act as the central point of contact for all congressional requests in accordance with AR 1–20. If Congress directly contacts USAAA for information, USAAA will coordinate with the Office of the Chief of Legislative Liaison before responding to Congress.

1–12. Provost Marshal General

The Provost Marshal General serves as the Commanding General for the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command. As such, the Commanding General, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command will—

a. Investigate allegations of criminal misconduct within command’s investigative authority in compliance with AR 195–2 and applicable DOD policy.

b. Receive referrals from USAAA on potential fraud, abuse, or misconduct and report back significant trends and findings resulting from the referral.

1–13. Army commands, Army service component commands, and direct reporting units

ACOMs, ASCCs, and DRUs have the same responsibilities as HQDA principal officials except for providing the official Army position discussed in paragraph 1–9f.

1–14. Audit focal points

Audit focal points will—

a. Serve as points of contact for all USAAA, GAO, DODIG, Special Inspectors General, and other external oversight organizations on audit and attestation engagement matters.

b. Report contact information to the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office (SAAG–ZBO) via email at usarmy.pentagon.hqda-aaa.mbx.aaa-acfo@mail.mil.

c. Receive audit and attestation engagement announcements, coordination requests, audit and attestation reports, and other audit-related requests pertaining to their command or agency; distribute reports or other audit-related requests to appropriate offices for action or comment; make sure command responses appropriately comment on the need for classification or protective markings; and monitor the preparation of command replies to ensure they are responsive and timely as discussed in paragraph 2–12.

d. Report the implementation status of USAAA recommendations and respond to requests on the status of GAO, DODIG, and Special Inspectors General recommendations.

1–15. Army Internal Review personnel

The Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller) has HQDA staff responsibility for the Internal Review Program. Each commander has an Internal Review function that includes auditors to ensure the preservation and proper use of resources. This audit responsibility is separate and distinct from the authority delegated to The Army Auditor General. Internal Review personnel are responsible for conducting engagements within their command structure that include, but are not limited to, reviews; financial statement audit readiness; sustainment; and internal and followup audits (including USAAA audit recommendations). They may also serve as audit focal points. Internal Review personnel will not conduct Armywide audits or audit other Army organizations.

1–16. Managers responsible for implementing recommendations

Managers at activities responsible for implementing recommendations will prepare timely replies and take timely and
effective corrective action on agreed-to recommendations applicable to their programs and activities. Recommendations include those from USAAA, GAO, DODIG, Special Inspectors General, and other external oversight organizations.

Chapter 2
U.S. Army Audit Agency

Section I
Internal Audit Service

2–1. Functions

a. USAAA conducts the internal audit program within the Army. USAAA is a field operating agency that reports to The Army Auditor General, with an operations center and field offices located throughout the continental United States, Europe, Korea, and Hawaii.

b. USAAA is organizationally placed to maintain auditor independence required by public law and GAGAS established by the Comptroller General of the United States. Auditors will not perform management functions or make management decisions.

c. USAAA provides all levels and programs of the Army with objective and independent audit services. Internal audit extends to all Army organizations and operations and to all aspects of management and internal controls. USAAA performs performance audits, financial audits, and attestation engagements in accordance with GAGAS. With approval by The Army Auditor General, USAAA auditors may provide other services, such as offering routine advice and assistance, as well as conducting analyses and compilation reviews. Auditors will sometimes provide audit assistance for criminal investigations at the request of the investigating officials.

d. USAAA is independent and unrestricted in selecting audits it will perform; determining the scope of audits it will undertake; and selecting the material it will review or examine during planning, survey, and audit execution. USAAA supports the Army mission by providing timely and relevant audit service on subject matters most important to the Army. USAAA may consider using the work of other audit, investigative, and analysis agencies to minimize duplication and increase the effectiveness of the audit.

e. Auditors will accomplish audit and attestation engagements of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities in accordance with GAGAS and policies in DODI 7600.06, AR 215–1, and other applicable Army regulations. USAAA may provide oversight, advice, and assistance to the audit planning group for the Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Program.

f. Independent public accountants or firms conduct audit and attestation engagements of nonappropriated funds and related activities. The U.S. Army Installation Management Command, which is responsible for Army Family and Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Programs, must coordinate with USAAA for all solicitations for audit and attestation engagements with public accounting firms before their release and contract award. All audit and attestation engagements of nonappropriated funds and related activities (including those under contract with public accounting firms or other non-Federal or Federal sources) will comply with GAGAS, as well as other applicable DOD or OMB audit standards. The Army Auditor General retains oversight responsibility and may initiate a review of the work conducted under these contracts.

g. USAAA will not provide direct audit support to federally sanctioned, affiliated, or other independent private organizations, except for audits involving potential fraud or other serious improprieties.

2–2. Components of the audit and attestation engagement process

Auditors and audit organizations perform audit and attestation engagements in accordance with GAGAS (see fig 2–1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic-level planning:</th>
<th>See paragraph 2–3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA uses a risk assessment framework to review the Army’s corporate strategic documents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA coordinates with Army senior leaders annually to develop the Army’s Internal Audit Plan and to schedule audits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA publishes the Army’s Internal Audit Plan and monitors its status throughout the year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning the engagement:</th>
<th>See paragraphs 2–4 and 2–5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA identifies audit sites and contacts audit focal points.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA holds entrance conference(s) with command officials.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performing the engagement:</th>
<th>See paragraphs 2–6 through 2–8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Auditors visit command sites and gather evidence to answer audit objectives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA holds in-process reviews with Army clients.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting engagement results:</th>
<th>See paragraphs 2–9 through 2–11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Auditors summarize the results of the audit, draw conclusions, make recommendations, and estimate associated potential monetary benefits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA holds exit conference(s) at audit sites at the request of command officials.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replying to and publishing reports:</th>
<th>See paragraphs 2–12 through 2–16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Auditors issue the draft audit report to command officials.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Auditors and audit focal points work toward obtaining command comments on conclusions, recommendations, and potential monetary benefits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HQDA principal officials establish the official Army position.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA publishes and distributes the audit report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Following up on recommendations:</th>
<th>See paragraphs 2–17 through 2–21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Audit focal points monitor the status of agreed-to command actions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Activities take corrective actions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USAAA tracks the status of unimplemented recommendations and conducts followup audits of select recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2–1. Engagement phases
Section II
Planning U.S. Army Audit Agency Audits and Attestation Engagements

2–3. Strategic-level planning
USAAA uses a strategic audit planning process to identify audits that would be most relevant to the Army. The process involves the application of a risk assessment framework in reviewing the Army’s corporate strategic planning documents (such as The Army Plan, Army Posture Statement, and Army Campaign Plan), combined with extensive communication with Army senior leaders annually to develop the Army’s Internal Audit Plan and to schedule audits. The Army’s Internal Audit Plan is signed and approved by the SECARMY. The strategic audit planning process is continuous, and USAAA monitors the status of the Army’s Internal Audit Plan throughout the year.

2–4. Requests
USAAA may schedule and perform audits and attestation engagements under the authority of The Army Auditor General or base them on requests from the Army Secretariat, Army Staff, ACOMs, ASCCs, DRUs, and other Army activities. USAAA is flexible in adding and cancelling audits as priorities change within the Army. The Army Auditor General is the authority for determining the need for and the timing of audits. To request an audit or an attestation engagement, an individual will submit a request directly to the program director for the function, if known, or to the USAAA Strategic Audit Planning Office at usarmy.pentagon.hqda-aaa.mbx.audit-requests1@mail.mil.

2–5. Schedule of engagements
   a. The Army’s Internal Audit Plan is available upon request from the USAAA Freedom of Information Act liaison via the USAAA Web site (https://www.aaa.army.mil).
   b. USAAA will coordinate with audit focal points and notify commands and activities of its planned audits and attestation engagements to the greatest extent possible before entrance conferences or start of fieldwork. Audit focal points are responsible for notifying interested organizations or individuals, including any battalion-level organizations that might be included in the audit or attestation engagement. The activity being audited may waive advance notification requirements and request that the audit or attestation engagement start sooner.

Section III
Performing the Engagement

2–6. Fieldwork
   a. USAAA will notify audit focal points, in writing, about 30 calendar days before the scheduled audit or attestation engagement start date to arrange an entrance conference with appropriate command personnel. After making this request, USAAA may coordinate with command audit focal points to begin performing preliminary fieldwork.
   b. USAAA will evaluate subject matter using a variety of audit tools and techniques. USAAA will collect, analyze, and document the information and data needed to answer the audit objective, complete the audit program, and support the audit results. USAAA must conduct its work in accordance with GAGAS, which requires appropriate evidence to support conclusions. Audited activities will provide full access to USAAA in accordance with paragraph 1–6c.

2–7. Fraud and illegal acts
USAAA auditors will appropriately design their engagements to provide reasonable assurance for detecting indications of possible fraud, abuse, and illegal acts in accordance with GAGAS. If USAAA auditors identify situations or transactions indicative of fraud or illegal acts during the course of their review, they will refer the information to the appropriate investigative authority.

2–8. In-process reviews
Throughout the engagement, USAAA will keep Army clients aware of results through informal discussions or formal briefings. USAAA may conduct in-process reviews to discuss progress. During the in-process review, USAAA will give the client an opportunity to provide input on the auditors’ approach and tentative results.

Section IV
Reporting the Results of the Engagement

2–9. Draft report
   a. Following completion of its fieldwork, USAAA will prepare and submit draft reports to audit focal points or other designated representatives at organizations responsible for implementing recommendations to obtain their position
on the findings, conclusions, recommendations, and potential monetary benefits, if applicable, as well as planned corrective actions.

b. The findings, conclusions, recommendations, and potential monetary benefits USAAA submits to the organization responsible for implementing recommendations for comment are draft and do not represent the official Army position. Draft documents will not be released outside the Army without the approval of USAAA’s Office of Counsel (SAAG–GCZ).

c. USAAA will request that the organization responsible for implementing recommendations confirm the classification or protective markings for the draft report when USAAA submits the report for comment. If USAAA suggests a classification or protective marking, the organization will confirm the classification or protective marking. If information in the report does not require a classification or protective marking, the organization will state that a classification or protective marking is not required.

2–10. Exit conference
USAAA will offer to schedule an exit conference within 15 calendar days of the draft report date to discuss the report. The exit conference gives the organization an opportunity to discuss the findings, conclusions, recommendations, corrective actions, and potential monetary benefits, as well as to resolve any open issues or areas of disagreement. An exit conference may not be necessary when the organization fully agrees with the report and no issues require resolution. Consequently, organizations responsible for implementing recommendations, as well as other organizations having an interest in the audit results, may waive the exit conference.

2–11. Potential monetary benefits
a. Monetary benefits often accrue when activities take corrective actions, such as—
   (1) Collecting funds incorrectly paid out.
   (2) Reducing excess inventory and associated inventory holding costs.
   (3) Avoiding unnecessary expenditures or reducing requirements.
   (4) Avoiding costs by implementing recommended improvements related to the operations of an Army activity or business process.
   (5) Improving procedures that enable the activity to accomplish a function more efficiently.

b. USAAA will report potential monetary benefits to the audited or responsible activity and provide details on how auditors computed the benefits. USAAA also reports potential monetary benefits to DODIG for inclusion in the Semiannual Report to the Congress.

Section V
Repling to and Distributing Reports

The command-reply process enables the organization or organizations responsible for implementing recommendations; higher commands; and HQDA principal officials to present their positions on findings, conclusions, recommendations, corrective actions, and potential monetary benefits. The process also provides procedures for deciding disagreements on audit reports.

a. General requirements.
   (1) Each commander, director, or HQDA principal official to whom a finding, conclusion, recommendation, or potential monetary benefit is addressed will prepare an official command reply. A reply is required for USAAA products associated with all engagements conducted in accordance with GAGAS within 30 calendar days from the date of the transmittal of the draft report. If the organization or organizations responsible for implementing recommendations do not provide a position within 30 calendar days, USAAA will take actions it deems necessary to obtain a reply and publish the report. These actions include elevating the report to the next higher level for its position, or issuing the report without an official Army position or command comments, if necessary. An official Army position will then be established in accordance with the procedures discussed in paragraphs 2–12c and 2–14.
   (2) Commanders, heads of Army activities, or other individuals who have authority or responsibility to implement or direct the implementation of required management changes will approve command replies. Any other individual signing command replies must have explicit authority to do so.
   (3) The organization or organizations responsible for implementing recommendations will take prompt corrective action if they agree with findings, conclusions, recommendations, or potential monetary benefits. If the organization or organizations disagree, then the process for developing the official Army position discussed in paragraph 2–12c will determine what action they will take. Commanders and managers will avoid taking actions before resolving disagreements, such as proceeding with activities questioned on undecided time-sensitive issues, if they do not agree with findings, conclusions, recommendations, or potential monetary benefits.

   (1) The addressee must explicitly agree or disagree (that is, concur or nonconcur) with the findings, conclusions,
recommendations, and, if applicable, corrective actions taken during the audit and any potential monetary benefits. Appendix B has a suggested format and instructions for preparing the reply. The reply will:

(a) Address the issues presented in the report, be responsive, and provide a corrective action plan with milestone dates to correct deficiencies. To be responsive, command must provide its position on the facts, conclusions, and any potential monetary benefits related to the recommendations.

(b) Justify any corrective actions taking 12 months or more to complete and provide target dates for intermediate phases of plans if the corrective actions will take more than 12 months to complete.

(c) Explain any disagreement with USAAA’s findings, conclusions, and recommendations in sufficient detail to allow for mediation and adjudication (see para 2–14). The addressee can propose alternative actions to recommendations. If the addressee proposes alternative actions, it must also clearly state the completion or target dates for those actions. The addressee may also comment on any changes needed to clarify matters in the report.

(d) Provide a position on the USAAA estimate of potential monetary benefits. The addressee will explicitly agree or disagree and provide any alternative amounts to each category and type of monetary benefits. If the addressee agrees that potential monetary benefits may result from implementing a recommendation but believes the amount cannot be determined until after implementation, the addressee will confirm the potential for monetary benefits and comment on the reasonableness of the estimate.

(2) USAAA will evaluate the addressee’s response and try to resolve any disagreements or deficiencies in the response with the organization or organizations responsible for ensuring implementation of the recommendations. If these attempts are not successful, USAAA will prepare comments identified as the “Agency Evaluation of Command Comments.” USAAA may also include an evaluation if the addressee identifies alternative actions that meet the intent of the recommendation. The Agency Evaluation of Command Comments will appear after the summary of the response from the addressee.

(3) In the final audit report, USAAA summarizes the command replies after each recommendation and includes the verbatim comments from the addressees and higher level activities in an annex to the report. If the report does not include recommendations or potential monetary benefits, USAAA will include the addressee’s position in the report. The comments do not constitute the official Army position until they have been coordinated with the HQDA principal officials (see para 2–12c).

(c) Official Army position.

(1) An official Army position will be established for each USAAA report associated with engagements conducted in accordance with GAGAS. The HQDA principal official with primary responsibility for the program, process, or policy will provide the official Army position except for those recommendations requiring adjudication in accordance with paragraph 2–14. The HQDA principal official provides the official Army position explicitly when the official provides a response to USAAA. The HQDA principal official may also provide the official Army position implicitly by letting stand corrective actions and agreements to recommendations by both the lower level command or activity and higher level commands or activities. In these cases, the reply provided by the lower level command and agreed to by the higher level command is the official Army position.

(2) To provide the official Army position, the HQDA principal official will evaluate responses associated with the audit report and recommend the official Army position on the findings, conclusions, recommendations, and, if applicable, potential monetary benefits in the USAAA report. When recommendations are addressed to an HQDA principal official, the HQDA principal official’s reply will serve as the official Army position.

(3) USAAA will process the replies and obtain an official Army position using the procedures and timeframes outlined in the following subparagraphs.

(a) Addressees are in full agreement with the draft report. USAAA will simultaneously process a report with all concurrences to higher level commands and cognizant HQDA principal officials. The HQDA principal official will provide the official Army position explicitly or implicitly within 30 calendar days. If the HQDA principal official provides an explicit response, the written response from the HQDA principal official will become the official Army position. If the HQDA principal official declines to reply or does not respond within 30 calendar days, USAAA views the circumstance as receipt of an implicit official Army position, and the reply from the subordinate activity will become the official Army position.

(b) Addressees are in disagreement with the draft report. USAAA will incorporate the addressee’s disagreement and present the USAAA position on the disagreement (the “Agency Evaluation of Command Comments”) in the draft report. USAAA will then process the report to the next higher level of command for official comment. The higher level command has 30 calendar days to respond. If the higher level command modifies or reverses the addressee’s disagreement, and USAAA agrees with the revision, USAAA will process the report to the HQDA principal official for official comment. If the HQDA principal official endorses the revision, the endorsement becomes the official Army position in the final audit report. If the HQDA principal official does not respond within 30 calendar days after receiving the report with the revised position or declines to provide a response, the response from the higher level command will become the official Army position.

(c) Disagreement with the draft report occurs between command levels. If the higher level command does not agree with USAAA’s findings, conclusions, recommendations, or estimated potential monetary benefits, USAAA will submit
the audit report with the command reply and USAAA evaluation to the HQDA principal official. The HQDA principal official will evaluate the USAAA and higher level command responses and develop an Army position within 30 calendar days. If the HQDA principal official agrees with USAAA, the position from the HQDA principal official becomes the official Army position. If the HQDA principal official does not provide a response within 30 calendar days, USAAA may consider—

1. Continuing to work with the HQDA principal official to resolve the disagreement before using the resolution process explained in paragraph 2–14.
2. Using the resolution process in paragraph 2–14 and awaiting the results before publishing the report without an official Army position.
3. Publishing the report without an official Army position and using the resolution process in paragraph 2–14.
4. If the HQDA principal official and USAAA cannot resolve the disagreement in a reasonable timeframe, USAAA may choose to publish the report without an official Army position as indicated in paragraph 2–12c(3)(c)3. In these cases, the official Army position will be established through the resolution process outlined in paragraph 2–14.

2–13. Release of audit-related documents outside the Army
Audit-related documents (such as draft reports and command replies) related to the official command-reply process are used to formulate the official Army position on the USAAA report. Except for the official Army position statement, the documents do not represent the Army position and are not to be released outside the Army except by the Office of The Army Auditor General. All requests for release of any audit-related documents outside the Army will be referred to USAAA’s Office of Counsel (SAAG–GCZ).

2–14. Resolution process
a. General. USAAA and the HQDA principal official will make every effort to resolve disagreements with draft reports through informal meetings involving the audited or responsible activity and higher level commands. If these efforts are not successful, USAAA will proceed to the formal mediation and adjudication process described in paragraphs 2–14b and 2–14c. USAAA may await the outcome of the process and include the results as the official Army position in the final report. It may also consider publishing the final report without an official Army position in it and proceeding into the formal mediation and adjudication phases. These phases will be completed within 6 months of the final report date.

b. Mediation. If a disagreement continues to exist, either in a published report without an official Army position or in a report not published pending a decision on the official Army position, the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will attempt to mediate the disagreement between the USAAA audit team and the HQDA principal official. If a mediation meeting is held and USAAA and the HQDA principal official resolve their differences, the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will prepare a memorandum—signed by appropriate representatives from USAAA and the HQDA principal official—documenting the agreement reached through mediation. This document establishes the official Army position for the disputed issues in the report.

c. Adjudication. If a disagreement is not resolved through mediation, USAAA will continue processing the report to the Under Secretary of the Army or the Vice Chief of Staff, Army, as appropriate, for adjudication. The Under Secretary of the Army or the Vice Chief of Staff, Army will resolve the disagreement. If the official Army position is not in the final report and is in the resolution process, adjudication must be completed and a final resolution made within 6 months of the publication date of the final report.

2–15. Time-sensitive issues
a. USAAA will notify command of time-sensitive issues that arise during an engagement. Time-sensitive issues are significant or sensitive situations where specific corrective actions must be taken immediately or by a specified imminent date, before the normal reporting and resolution process can be completed.

b. USAAA will usually notify command of the time-sensitive issue through a memorandum that gives activities 5 working days to respond to its recommendations but may allow more or less time if circumstances dictate.

c. Except for the timeframe, time-sensitive reports will be resolved in accordance with the policy in paragraph 2–14. However, USAAA may elevate issues directly to the Under Secretary of the Army or the Vice Chief of Staff, Army if sufficient time is not available for normal or time-sensitive procedures.

2–16. Report distribution
a. Except for classified reports, reports requiring special handling, and reports to activities with special agreements for printed reports, USAAA will distribute reports in electronic format to—

(1) Audited activities and any activities affected by the recommendations or command’s corrective actions.

(2) Organizations that requested or arranged for the audit.

(3) Activities with legal oversight authority or responsibility for acting on the auditor recommendations, such as ACOMs, ASCCs, DRUs, or the DA proponents inherently responsible for the function or activity described in the audit report.
Section VI
Follow up on Auditor Recommendations

2–17. Guidelines

a. OMB requires a followup program for audit reports. An effective followup program must provide a method for
tracking the implementation of corrective actions until completion; reporting the status of these actions (including
resulting potential monetary benefits) to higher levels of management; verifying corrective actions; and evaluating
periodically the adequacy and effectiveness of the overall program.

b. HQDA principal officials, ACOMs, ASCCs, and DRUs take action to implement the corrective actions they
agreed to perform and will implement followup systems as described in paragraphs 2–18 and 2–19 to control, monitor,
and report on corrective actions pertaining to auditor recommendations and potential monetary benefits. HQDA
principal officials, ACOMs, ASCCs, and DRUs will determine and document the status of recommendations as soon as
practical after the scheduled completion of corrective actions.

c. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office uses the U.S. Army Audit Agency System for Information
Storage and Transmission (AAAsist) to track the status of auditor recommendations and potential monetary benefits.
The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office encourages audit focal points at the local level to use AAAsist
and will authorize access to it. Audit focal points will—
   (1) Update information in AAAsist as corrective actions are completed, target dates for completion are changed, or
       milestones are met.
   (2) Maintain documentation to support that corrective actions were taken.

2–18. Followup system

a. The followup system will document actions taken on conclusions, recommendations, and potential monetary
benefits. The system will allow for monitoring corrective actions as presented in the command reply and show
established target dates as an initial suspense for completed actions.

b. Activities responsible for taking corrective actions will report to their audit focal points when they complete
corrective actions and whether they achieved monetary benefits (see para 2–19c). Activities responsible for taking
corrective action will:
   (1) Maintain accurate records. Records will include explicit written confirmation and documentation supporting that
       the activity took corrective actions and achieved monetary benefits.
   (2) Respond to a request for status. Activities will provide timely and accurate information to audit focal points.
       Activities will notify audit focal points when they cannot meet established target dates as soon as that becomes known
       and provide audit focal points with new target dates and justification for reestablishing target dates as soon as it is
       known.

c. Audit focal points will monitor the status of corrective actions at those activities responsible for taking corrective
action. When the responsible activity cannot meet established target dates, it will provide the audit focal points with
new target dates. Management officials of the responsible activity and audit focal points will continue to monitor
recommendations when analysis shows that agreed-to actions were not taken or corrective actions were not effective. In
these situations, audit focal points will review audit actions as complete and will obtain new target dates from the
responsible activity. Audit focal points will notify USAAA of any new target date.

d. Audit focal points will monitor any delay in completion dates and advise senior management officials, who will
act appropriately to resolve the issues.

e. Internal Review personnel may conduct followup audits of USAAA recommendations to verify that their
command took corrective action.

2–19. Status of corrective action

a. Audit focal points will update the status of agreed-to recommendations by using AAAsist or notifying USAAA.
Appendix C discusses data elements in AAAsist and provides definitions and information for the updates.

b. Activities responsible for taking corrective action must ensure that they take meaningful, effective, and timely
action in response to agreed-to findings and recommendations to receive the full benefits of the audit work. Unimple-
mented recommendations represent conditions that have not been corrected. If a USAAA followup audit subsequently
shows that actions were not adequate or were not taken, USAAA will change the status of the recommendation to
unimplemented and include a new target date. Audit focal points will again report the recommendation as completed.
when managers provide explicit written confirmation of completion, even if USAAA has not verified completion by a subsequent followup audit.

\[c\] Monetary benefits will be reported as achieved only after responsible managers have completed the related corrective actions and provided explicit written confirmation, including documentation such as references to official budget or accounting data or, if such documentation is not feasible, an updated estimate along with the rationale for that estimate. Audit focal points will report on the status of the claimed monetary benefits when actions to implement the recommendation are completed.

\[d\] The HQDA principal officials, ACOMs, ASCCs, and DRUs must maintain documentation for at least 3 years after implementation of the last recommendation in the report and will make the documentation readily available to audit organizations.


USAAA may conduct followup audits of recommendations to verify that management officials took corrective action. USAAA will issue a report containing the results of the audit and conclusions as to whether the activity took corrective action and the actions achieved the desired results and monetary benefits, if applicable. In a separate audit of an activity’s overall followup system, USAAA will coordinate and provide a report on its evaluation of the followup system. If USAAA finds that the system is not adequate to monitor the status of corrective actions, it will make recommendations to correct deficiencies in the system.

2–21. Semiannual Followup Status Report

\[a\] In accordance with DODI 7650.03 and DODI 7750.06, USAAA is required to report to DODIG semiannually the status of management’s corrective actions on USAAA audit reports. The semiannual Followup Status Report covers the 6-month periods ending 31 March and 30 September. DODIG may use this information in its reports to Congress in accordance with Public Law 95–452 (Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended).

\[b\] USAAA will use data within AAAsist to provide DODIG with the narrative information and statistical data requested. USAAA provides statistical data and information relating to special access programs as a classified annex to its response.

Chapter 3

External Audits and Reviews

3–1. Notification of audits by external oversight organizations

\[a\] The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office is the Army’s central point of contact for oversight organizations external to the Army that conduct reviews or audits within the Army. All reviews and audits conducted within the Army must be coordinated with this office.

\[b\] Army activities will promptly notify the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office of an unannounced visit or contact by external oversight organizations, such as GAO, DODIG, Defense Contract Audit Agency, U.S. Air Force Audit Agency, Naval Audit Service, and other Special Inspectors General. Notifications may be made by telephone, email, or correspondence.

\[c\] The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will notify HQDA principal officials, ACOMs, ASCCs, DRUs, and other affected Army activities of the planned reviews and audits and request a primary action officer be designated at the HQDA level. After this notification, the oversight organization normally will coordinate directly with the audited activity.

\[d\] DODIG serves as the DOD central liaison with the Comptroller General of the United States on all matters concerning GAO activities. DODIG will develop and provide guidance, as needed, to facilitate the handling of GAO surveys and reviews, as well as to review and respond to GAO reports. DODIG will notify the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office about these reviews, and this office will then task HQDA activities to provide requested information.

3–2. Coordination with external oversight organizations

\[a\] The HQDA principal officials, ACOMs, ASCCs, and DRUs will designate an audit focal point to coordinate with external oversight organizations. The audit focal point will be familiar with Army and DOD policies and procedures concerning relationships with external oversight organizations; provide staff advice and assistance; maintain related files; and coordinate entrance and exit conferences.

\[b\] The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will distribute memorandums announcing the start of audits and reviews of Army activities and will request a primary action officer be designated at the HQDA level. Representatives from the external oversight organization normally will schedule an entrance conference to discuss the objectives of the review or audit, as well as the plan for conducting the engagement.

\[c\] The HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review or audit conducted by the external oversight
organization is responsible for obtaining necessary information from other Army elements. The HQDA principal official will—

(1) Designate a primary action officer (project officer) at the HQDA level to work with the external oversight organization. Audit focal points may serve as project officers, but it is recommended that individuals working in the functional area under review have this responsibility. The project officer will attend meetings; arrange contacts; ensure that replies are responsive and timely; and monitor corrective actions on deficiencies and monetary benefits.

(2) Coordinate the Army response to draft and final reports with the responsible assistant secretary of the Army and other interested HQDA principal officials.

(3) Provide replies to external oversight organizations and copies of such to the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office.

3–3. Access to and release of information to external oversight or military Service audit organizations

a. Access to information includes permitting onsite review of information and providing copies of documents and extracts. Requests for access may be oral or written from representatives of the external oversight or military Service audit organization conducting an authorized review, or they may be formal requests from the Comptroller General of the United States or DODIG.

b. Army activities will grant representatives of external oversight and military Service audit organizations access to classified information if the Army and DOD originated the information and is in possession of it, and the information is relevant to the performance of the statutory responsibilities of those offices.

c. Army activities will ensure that representatives of external oversight and military Service audit organizations have appropriate security clearances before disclosing classified information to them. The external oversight and military Service audit organizations will certify the security clearances granted to their representatives in writing directly to the Army organization or installation to be visited. A list of names and Social Security numbers may be provided to the security office of the Army organization or installation to be visited for verification in the Joint Personnel Adjudication System.

d. Army activities will provide information to GAO and DODIG according to guidance in DODI 7050.03 and DODI 7600.02. Procedures for releasing certain records to GAO are in DODI 7650.01. For all other external oversight or military Service audit organizations, Army activities will contact the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office for instructions.

3–4. Replies to reports from external oversight organizations

a. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will forward draft audit reports it receives from external oversight organizations to the HQDA principal official having functional proponency or primary interest for information, action, or response, as appropriate.

b. Army officials will immediately notify the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office if they receive a draft audit report for comment directly from an external oversight organization.

c. The GAO, DODIG, and other external oversight organizations may provide specific instructions and suspense dates for replies to draft reports. If external oversight organizations do not provide specific instructions and suspense dates, the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office may assign suspense dates to Army activities assisting the Army lead office in the reply process. Assisting activities should provide their input to the project officer designated by the HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review or audit (that is, the Army lead office) and not directly to the external oversight organization.

d. Army activities assisting the Army lead office in the reply process will meet assigned suspense dates for their input to the HQDA primary action officer. Assisting Army activities should provide input to influence the presentation of the final report and to present the Army’s official position on the findings, conclusions, recommendations, and, if applicable, potential monetary benefits. If suspense dates are not met, GAO and DODIG will publish their reports without Army management comments and state in the final report that the Army was provided a copy of the draft report but did not respond. When issuing final reports, GAO and DODIG will indicate whether additional comments are required and will provide a suspense date for the Army to submit them.

e. For audit reports containing recommendations—

(1) *Affecting or involving the Army.* The HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review or audit (that is, the Army lead office) is responsible for providing the official Army position to external oversight organizations. Army activities assisting the Army lead office in the reply process will provide prompt, responsive, and constructive command comments to recommendations developed during the course of the review or audit, to the draft reports, and to the estimates of related monetary benefits. Army activities will use appendix B as a guideline for preparing command comments to the Army lead office.

(2) *Addressed directly to the Army.* For reports containing recommendations directly to the Army, the Army lead office will prepare the Army response using official letterhead, ensuring the document is dated and signed by an official with proper signature authority. The reply should state whether the Army concurs or nonconcurs with each recommendation, and, if applicable, with the associated potential monetary benefits. The reply should also briefly
explain disagreements or alternate actions taken or planned, providing dates for actions taken and target dates for planned actions, as well as providing explanations when repeat conditions are identified. Army activities assisting in the reply process will provide the Army lead office prompt, responsive, and constructive comments to recommendations.

(3) Addressed directly to the Secretary of the Army from the Government Accountability Office. GAO reports containing recommendations directly to the SECARMY have a condensed timeframe to allow the SECARMY sufficient time to review and approve responses prepared by the HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will provide the draft report to the Army lead office for response. The Army lead office is responsible for providing a consolidated Army reply to the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office by the suspense date. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will prepare a hardcopy report package that will be hand-carried to the Office of the Secretary of the Army for review, approval, and signature. Once the SECARMY has approved the Army position, the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will coordinate with the DODIG liaison office before release to GAO.


a. DODIG will facilitate the resolution of disagreements between DOD components concerning the appropriateness of proposed responses to GAO reports. If resolution at this level is not possible, DODIG will refer the dispute, along with pertinent documentation, to the Secretary of Defense or Deputy Secretary of Defense for final resolution.

b. If the Army’s disagreements with final DODIG audit reports cannot be resolved, DODIG auditors will refer the issue to the Deputy Inspector General for Auditing, DODIG, who will attempt to mediate the matter between the DODIG auditors and Army representatives. If opposing positions cannot be reconciled, the matter will be referred to the Deputy Secretary of Defense for decision. The decision on contested issues will be a matter of record.

3–6. Report distribution
The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will forward the final report to the responsible HQDA principal official. In addition, the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will list published audit reports in its weekly report on audit activity to the Army Staff and monthly report to the SECARMY. Army activities may obtain copies of the reports from the external oversight organization’s Web site or request copies of the reports from the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office (SAAG–ZBO) via email at usarmy.pentagon.hqda-aaa.mbx.aaa-acfo@mail.mil.

3–7. Audit followup

a. DODIG will follow up on agreements made in replies to GAO and DODIG reports. DODIG usually performs these followup efforts through written correspondence and may ask for supporting documentation confirming the implementation of agreed-to corrective actions.

b. DODIG will coordinate the follow up of these reports with the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will, in turn, forward the request for information to the appropriate HQDA principal official.

c. The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will provide instructions to HQDA principal officials on how to respond to requests from DODIG or another external audit organization.
Appendix A

References

Section I
Required Publications
DOD publications are available at http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/.

AR 25–55
The Department of the Army Freedom of Information Act Program (Cited in para 1–10h.)

AR 380–5
Department of the Army Information Security Program (Cited in para 1–6d.)

DODI 7050.03

DODI 7600.02
Audit Policies (Cited in para 1–6b(2).)

DODI 7600.06
Audit of Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities (NAFI) and Related Activities (Cited in para 1–6b(3).)

DODI 7650.01
Government Accountability Office (GAO) and Comptroller General Requests for Access to Records (Cited in para 3–3d.)

DODI 7650.03
Follow-up on Government Accountability Office (GAO), Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DOD), and Internal Audit Reports (Cited in para 2–21a.)

DODI 7750.06
Information Requirements for Semiannual Report to the Congress (Cited in para 2–21a.)

Section II
Related Publications
A related publication is a source of additional information. The user does not have to read it to understand this publication. DOD publications are available at http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/.

AR 1–20
Legislative Liaison

AR 11–2
Managers’ Internal Control Program

AR 11–7
Army Internal Review Program

AR 25–30
Army Publishing Program

AR 195–2
Criminal Investigation Activities

AR 215–1
Military Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Programs and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities

AR 335–15
Management Information Control System
AR 380–381
Special Access Programs (SAPs) and Sensitive Activities

DA General Order 2012–01
Assignment of Functions and Responsibilities Within Headquarters, Department of the Army

DODI 7650.02
Government Accountability Office (GAO) Reviews and Reports

DODM 7600.07
DOD Audit Manual

GAO–12–331G

OMB Circular A–50
Audit Followup (Available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/.)

Public Law 95–452

Public Law 103–355
Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Available at https://www.congress.gov/.)

Title 5, United States Code, Section 552

Section III
Prescribed Forms
This section contains no entries.

Section IV
Referenced Forms
Unless otherwise indicated, DA forms are available on the Army Publishing Directorate Web site (www.apd.army.mil).

DA Form 11–2
Internal Control Evaluation Certification

DA Form 2028
Recommended Changes to Publications and Blank Forms

Appendix B
Format and Instructions for Responding to Audit and Attestation Reports

B–1. Purpose
This appendix provides instructions for preparing replies to audit and attestation reports. It also presents an example—which does not portray an actual audit situation—of a command reply to an audit recommendation. The HQDA principal official with primary interest in the audit or attestation will prepare his or her reply on official letterhead because audit organizations include a verbatim copy of management replies in final audit and attestation reports.

B–2. Identifying data
Identify the project (if reply is to a draft report) or report number (if reply is to a final report); objective or alpha designator for the objective; and title of the objective.

B–3. Objective
This information is optional. If used, copy the objective verbatim.
B–4. Conclusion
The complete conclusion paragraph in the report may be used, although a brief statement of the conclusion is sufficient.

B–5. Additional comments
Providing additional comments is optional except when the conclusion identifies repeat conditions or discusses unimplemented recommendations.
   a. A responding activity may provide additional comments if it believes they are necessary to present matters more clearly or in a better perspective.
   b. If the conclusion identifies repeat conditions or discusses unimplemented recommendations, this paragraph is a mandatory part of the response to explain why actions were not taken or the condition continues to exist.

B–6. Recommendation
Activities responding to the recommendation will copy each applicable recommendation verbatim, including the same designation of the objective used in the report.

B–7. Action taken
The command reply will—
   a. State explicitly whether the activity concurs, concurs with comment, or nonconurs with the recommendation. This statement will immediately follow the recommendation.
   b. Explain briefly the reasons for any disagreement and provide enough details to allow the HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review to prepare an official Army position.
   c. Describe briefly the corrective actions planned or already taken, or alternative action to correct the problem.
   d. Provide dates the activity took or completed corrective actions, or target dates for planned actions.
   e. Provide justification when the activity cannot complete corrective action within 12 months. In these instances, the activity will provide interim target dates for the intermediate phases of the plan and the final completion date for the entire corrective action plan.

B–8. Potential monetary benefits
Army activities responding to the recommendation will explicitly concur, concur with comment, or nonconcur with the reasonableness of the estimate of potential monetary benefits, as applicable. Army activities will include alternative estimates, if warranted. Army activities will explain the reason for disagreements or alternative estimates of potential monetary benefits in sufficient detail to allow the HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review to prepare an official Army position on the potential monetary benefits.

B–9. Example of a command reply
This reply would be an enclosure to a cover memorandum prepared on the official Army letterhead for the activity (for command comments to USAAA audit and attestation reports) or for the HQDA principal official with primary interest in the review (for the official Army position on external audit and oversight organization audit reports) (see fig B–1).
Project: A-2015-ZIB0-0100.000
Audit Location: Alexandria, VA
Objective Designation: B
Objective Title: Temporary Disability Payments

Objective:
To verify that the Army paid temporary disability retirement pay to eligible personnel.

Conclusion:
The Army paid temporary disability retirement pay to individuals who weren’t eligible to receive this type of pay. This occurred because the Army didn’t establish procedures to suspend temporary retired pay when individuals failed to report for required periodic physical examinations. The law requires the military Services to suspend or end temporary disability retired pay if an individual fails to report for a periodic physical examination to confirm the continued existence of the physical disability.

Of the 5,000 individuals on the physical disability list for the period ending 31 July 2015, we identified 2,500 individuals who didn’t take required periodic physical examinations and consequently weren’t entitled to continue to receive disability pay. If the Army doesn’t establish procedures to suspend disability pay for these individuals immediately, it could pay at least $2.5 million to individuals who are no longer eligible to receive disability pay.

Additional comments:
If provided, the additional comments would be specific to address issues that might offer a different perspective.

Recommendation(s):
Recommendation B-1. Establish procedures to identify individuals who haven’t taken required periodic physical examinations and suspend physical disability pay if the individuals don’t report for required periodic physical examinations.

Action taken or planned:
Concur. The Army began automating the process for managing the physical disability examination process in September 2015. Under the new process, the Army notifies each individual 90 days before the scheduled examination date. Notifications include a warning that the Army will end physical disability pay within 30 days if the individual doesn’t report for the periodic physical examination. If an individual doesn’t report for examination, the Army will provide the proper notification and suspend the temporary disability retirement pay within 30 days of the missed physical examination. The automated process is scheduled to be fully operational by 31 December 2015.

In November 2015, the Army also began suspending the pay for the individuals identified during the audit. The Army is in the process of identifying all individuals not eligible for temporary retirement payments and should eliminate any suspension backlogs by 31 July 2016.

Potential monetary benefits:
Concur with the USAA estimate of potential monetary benefits. Based on suspensions to date, about 90 percent of the individuals identified during the audit were no longer eligible to receive disability pay. This percentage exceeded USAA’s estimate, and we fully expect that the estimated amount of $2.5 million in savings will be realized.
Appendix C
U.S. Army Audit Agency System for Information Storage and Transmission Management Elements and Use

C–1. Purpose
To facilitate the tracking of corrective actions and semiannual reporting, USAAA developed a module in its information management system, AAAsist, to track the status of corrective actions for each recommendation and reported potential monetary benefits.

C–2. Granting access to U.S. Army Audit Agency System for Information Storage and Transmission
The USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office will grant access to AAAsist and provide its Web address to audit focal points.

C–3. Data elements and definitions
a. Status code. This field indicates the status of the recommendation.
   b. Revised target implementation date. This field identifies a new target date for completing the corrective action. If a followup audit shows that a recommendation is unimplemented or not fully implemented, USAAA will change the status to unimplemented in AAAsist, and the audit focal point will report the recommendation as being unimplemented and establish a new target date for completion. If appropriate, audit focal points will report any adjustment of related potential monetary benefits (decided potential monetary benefits cannot change) to USAAA.
   c. Implementation date. This field identifies the date when the audited activity completed the corrective action.
   d. Command implementation amount. This field identifies the amount of monetary benefits command determined that it realized from completing the corrective action. If the recommendation remains unimplemented, no entry is required.
   e. Comments. This field is used to explain significant delays (more than 6 months) from the original estimated completion dates. It also is used to explain other adjustments, such as showing that command realized more (or less) monetary benefits than it previously reported as identified during followup.

Appendix D
Guidelines for Conducting an External Peer Review

D–1. Function
Every 3 years, an external peer review team will evaluate USAAA’s audit planning, execution, and reporting in accordance with GAGAS. The external peer review team conducts its peer review using standards developed by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

D–2. Key internal controls
The external peer review evaluates all controls associated with the audit process, including the key internal controls relating to—
   a. Auditor qualifications and independence.
   b. Audit planning and fieldwork.
   c. Audit reporting.
   d. Quality assurance program.

Appendix E
Internal Control Evaluation for Audit Liaison

E–1. Function
The function covered by this evaluation is audit liaison.
E–2. Purpose
The purpose of this evaluation is to assist Army and USAAA managers, as appropriate, in evaluating the key internal controls listed in paragraph E–4. It is intended as a guide and does not cover all controls.

E–3. Instructions
Answers must be based on the actual testing of internal controls (for example, document analysis, direct observation, sampling, simulation, or other). Answers that indicate deficiencies must be explained and the corrective action indicated in the supporting documentation. These internal controls must be evaluated at least once every 5 years. Test questions apply to audit focal points or the USAAA Audit Coordination and Followup Office, as indicated. Certification that this evaluation has been conducted must be accomplished on DA Form 11–2 (Internal Control Evaluation Certification).

E–4. Test questions
a. Audit focal points.
   (1) Are command groups and activities notified promptly of surveys, reviews, and impending audits?
   (2) Are suspense dates established and monitored to ensure a timely response to draft reports?
   (3) Have reports been distributed to the command group, activities responsible for corrective action, and other concerned activities?
   (4) Have specific target dates been assigned for implementing corrective actions on deficiencies?
   (5) Are all replies reviewed to ensure that the command position is complete and responsive and potential monetary benefits are reasonable?
   (6) Have addressees taken prompt, corrective action on agreed-to recommendations in the audit report?
   (7) Is the status of unimplemented recommendations and monetary benefits (including the target implementation date) accurately reported to USAAA in a timely manner (including update of the AAsist module, if used)?

b. U.S. Army Audit Agency Audit Coordination and Followup Office.
   (1) Were the HQDA principal officials and audited activities notified promptly of surveys, reviews, and impending audits?
   (2) Were final reports distributed appropriately and promptly to responsible HQDA principal officials and audited activities?
   (3) Are procedures in place to use the AAsist module to track the status of corrective actions and identify recommendations failing to meet target implementation dates?
   (4) Are procedures adequate to ensure that a final decision is made within 6 months of the publication date of the report?
   (5) Are procedures adequate to identify significant recommendations and potential monetary benefits to schedule for verification?
   (6) Are procedures adequate to ensure that unimplemented recommendations are identified and other information included in semiannual reports is accurate?

E–5. Supersession
This evaluation replaces the evaluation for audit liaison previously published in AR 36–2, dated 19 September 2007.

E–6. Comments
Help make this a better tool for evaluating internal controls. Submit comments to the U.S. Army Audit Agency Audit Coordination and Followup Office (SAAG–ZBO) via hardcopy to 6000 6th Street, Building 1464, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–5609 or via email at usarmy.pentagon.hqda-aaa.mbx.aaa-acfo@mail.mil.
Glossary

Section I
Abbreviations

AAAsist
U.S. Army Audit Agency System for Information Storage and Transmission

ACOM
Army command

AR
Army regulation

ASCC
Army service component command

DA
Department of the Army

DOD
Department of Defense

DODI
Department of Defense instruction

DODIG
Department of Defense Inspector General

DODM
Department of Defense manual

DRU
direct reporting unit

GAGAS
generally accepted Government auditing standards

GAO
Government Accountability Office

HQDA
Headquarters, Department of the Army

OMB
Office of Management and Budget

SECARMY
Secretary of the Army

USAAA
U.S. Army Audit Agency

Section II
Terms

Addressee
An activity to which an audit organization directs recommendations in audit reports. The activity is also responsible for taking or directing any corrective actions.
Attestation engagements
Cover a broad range of financial or nonfinancial objectives about the subject matter or assertion depending on the users’ needs. An attestation engagement can provide one of three levels of service as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, namely an examination engagement, a review engagement, or an agreed-upon procedures engagement.

Generally accepted Government auditing standards
Standards and guidance issued by the Comptroller General of the United States for use by Government auditors to ensure that they maintain competence, integrity, and independence in planning, conducting, and reporting their work. Commonly referred to as GAGAS, the Comptroller General of the United States publishes these standards in the publication, Government Auditing Standards, which is commonly referred to as the “Yellow Book.”

Headquarters, Department of the Army principal official(s)
Refers for the purpose of this regulation to the individual or group of individuals responsible for setting policy for, or making decisions about, a functional area.

Undecided recommendations
Management has not yet commented on a DOD audit organization’s final findings, recommendations, or estimated potential monetary benefits; or does not agree, and the DOD audit organization does not agree with the management position. This term also applies when management and the DOD audit organization are attempting to resolve disagreements at lower levels, or the disagreement is submitted to a senior official for decision.

Unimplemented recommendations
Not all the actions that USAAA and Army management agreed were necessary to correct deficiencies addressed in the recommendations have been completed.

Section III
Special Abbreviations and Terms
This section contains no entries.